

**University of Delaware Teacher Candidate Elementary and Special Education (14 weeks) *
Capstone Clinical Experience Evaluation**

In order to pass *EDUC 400: Student Teaching*

- A score of 2 or better in 7 of the 9 indicators on the DTGSS
- A score of 2 or better in all disposition indicators and content specific addendum

**Teacher Candidate:
School and Grade Level:**

**Clinical Educator:
Number of Classes:**

**Field Instructor:
Total Number of Students:**

*At the end of the placement, the FI and CE will complete 2 final reports on Taskstream to represent both elementary and special education certification areas.

Performance Area #1: LEARNING ENVIRONMENT: To what extent does the classroom environment support all students to learn?				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Indicator 1.1 Structures for Learning CEC 6.1., 6.2	Routines, procedures, and behavior expectations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are not taught • are not clearly communicated, causing loss of instructional time Student behavior <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • detracts from others' learning • is not addressed 	Routines, procedures, and behavior expectations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are clearly communicated but are not taught to mastery • do not match to students' needs • require prompts that cause loss of instructional time Student behavior <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • interrupts the lesson • is addressed and improved 	Routines, procedures and behavior expectations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are clearly communicated, and taught to mastery, maximizing instructional time • are matched to students' needs Student behavior <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is appropriate, or • when inappropriate, is addressed effectively and does not detract from the lesson 	AND <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Students take initiative to manage classroom procedures and their own behavior. • Students prompt others to follow classroom procedures, routines and expectations.

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Evidence Example: Evidence of routines and procedures and/or transitions for instructional groups, group work, centers.	
Midway Evidence Goals:	CE: TC: FI:
Final Evidence and Goals:	CE: TC: FI:

Indicator 1.2 Positive Classroom Climate CEC 6.1, 6.2	Classroom interactions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> are not respectful students do not listen attentively, and teacher does not refocus students' attention 	Classroom interactions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> teacher to student interactions are respectful student to student interactions are not respectful unless prompted by the teacher students listen attentively to teacher but not to peers prompting is not effective 	Classroom interactions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> teacher to student interactions are respectful student to student interactions are respectful students listen attentively to teacher and peers 	AND <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students independently use problem solving and conflict resolution skills during cooperative learning opportunities. Students encourage others to persevere.
	When working together, students: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> do not share work responsibility 	When working together, students <ul style="list-style-type: none"> share work responsibility do not offer support to one another 	When working together, students: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> share work responsibility offer and receive support from one another 	
	Students: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> do not persevere are not motivated to complete quality work are not prompted or encouraged 	Students: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> are prompted to persevere praised for their efforts to complete quality work 	Students: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> persevere complete quality work require minimal to no prompting 	

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference		Week 11 Progress Conference		N/A	

Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	if needed		if needed		
	Final		Final		Midway
Evidence Example: Evidence of Anchor Charts or other tools posted or available in the classroom that provide a visual reference or resource for students of what “ <i>respect</i> ” looks like/sounds like.					
Midway Evidence Goals:	CE: TC: FI:				
Final Evidence and Goals:	CE: TC: FI:				

Indicator 1.3 Equitable Access CEC 1.2	<p>High expectations for learning and achievement for all students are not communicated, demonstrated/modeled.</p> <p>Students:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> do not have access to a variety of tools and/or materials to meet their needs and do not have support for learning are not provided equitable* opportunities to respond and participate and do not engage do not share their interests and/or perspectives and do not accept others’ interests and perspectives as worthy do not share their interests and/or perspectives nor build relationships and connect to their academic work <p>Differences related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges are not respected and</p>	<p>High expectations for learning and achievement for all students are communicated, demonstrated/modeled but with limited impact on students.</p> <p>Students:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> have access to a variety of tools and/or materials to meet their needs but are not encouraged to use them to support learning. are provided equitable* opportunities to respond and participate but are not encouraged to engage are not encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives and to accept others’ interests and perspectives are worthy are not encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives to build relationships and connect to their academic work <p>Differences related to background, identity,</p>	<p>High expectations for learning and achievement for all students are communicated, demonstrated/modeled.</p> <p>Students:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> are provided a variety of tools and/or materials to meet their needs and encouraged to use them to support learning are provided equitable* opportunities to respond and participate and encouraged to engage are encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives and to accept others’ interests and perspectives are worthy are encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives to build relationships and connect to their academic work <p>Differences related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges are</p>	<p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students take initiative to share their interests and perspectives.
--	--	---	--	--

affirmed.	language, strengths, and challenges are addressed inconsistently.	respected and affirmed.	
-----------	---	-------------------------	--

*Equitable is defined as what one needs based on their diverse needs related to background knowledge/experiences, language, ability, etc.

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Evidence Example: Evidence of **Wait Time** (3-5 seconds before affirming, correcting or probing).

Midway Evidence Goals:	CE: TC: FI:
Final Evidence and Goals:	CE: TC: FI:

Performance Area #2: ENGAGEMENT IN LEARNING: To what extent does the instruction support and engage all students?

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Indicator 2.1 Objectives for Learning CEC 5.1	Lesson objectives are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> not aligned to grade-level or proficiency standard(s) not written in student friendly language that state what the students should know and be able to do not clearly communicated and accessible to students not revisited throughout the 	Lesson objectives are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> aligned to grade level standards or proficiency standard(s) not written in student friendly language that state what the students should know and be able to do accessible but not clearly communicated to students not revisited throughout the lesson 	Lesson objectives are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> aligned to grade level or proficiency standard(s) written in student friendly language that state what the students should know and be able to do clearly communicated and accessible to students revisited throughout the lesson 	AND <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students make connections between what they are currently learning to previous learning and/or to content in other disciplines.

	<p>lesson</p> <p>Students do not know what they are learning or why it is important for them to know.</p> <p>Criteria for success:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> is not communicated nor explained to students prior to beginning an assignment 	<p>Students are able to read or describe what they are learning but not why it is important for them to know.</p> <p>Criteria for success:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> is not aligned to the objective is communicated but not explained to students prior to beginning an assignment 	<p>Students are able to articulate what they are learning and why it is important for them to know.</p> <p>Criteria for success:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> is aligned to the objective is communicated and explained to students prior to beginning an assignment 	
--	--	--	--	--

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
<p>Progress Conference: use a “P” or “NI”</p> <p>P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable</p> <p>Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric</p>	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Evidence Example: Evidence that the teacher **strategically revisits** and/or **refers** students to the learning objective during the lesson.

Midway Evidence Goals:	<p>CE:</p> <p>TC:</p> <p>FI:</p>
Final Evidence and Goals:	<p>CE:</p> <p>TC:</p> <p>FI:</p>

<p>Indicator 2.2</p> <p>Learning Experiences</p>	<p>Learning experiences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> are activities not logically** aligned to the objective are primarily teacher led are not coherently sequenced 	<p>Learning experiences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> are logically** aligned to the objective are primarily teacher led are coherently sequenced but pacing 	<p>Learning experiences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> are logically** aligned to the objective are both teacher and student led/directed are coherently sequenced and paced 	<p>AND</p> <p>Learning experiences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students are provided opportunities to progress at different
--	--	--	---	---

CEC 5.2, 5.3	<p>according to major concepts required to master the objective</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • pacing does not provide adequate processing time • include content input but do not include opportunities for students to process • do not include an activator or preassessment of students' prior knowledge • do not include a way for students to summarize their learning <p>Explanatory Devices*:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • do not support achievement of the objective 	<p>does not provide adequate processing time</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are inconsistent when balancing content input and opportunities for students to process • include an activator that does not align with the objective • are not based on data gathered through an activator or pre-assessment • do not address prior misconceptions • include a closing activity but the activity does not provide data that assesses student mastery of the objective <p>Explanatory Devices*:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are not matched to the objective or the learning 	<p>according to major concepts required to master the objective with adequate processing time</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • include a balance of content input and opportunities for students to process • are based on an activator or assessment of prior knowledge and anticipation of misconceptions • include a strategy for students to summarize their learning <p>Explanatory Devices*:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are varied and well-chosen to match the needs of the learners • support student achievement of the objective 	<p>learning rates.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Students who finish early are engaged in meaningful learning opportunities. • Students are provided choice in the materials they can use and in grouping arrangements.
--------------	---	--	---	--

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Evidence Example: Evidence that there is a **gradual release of responsibility** for students to **transfer, retain** and/or **apply** their new learning.

Midway Evidence Goals:	CE: TC: FI:
Final Evidence and Goals:	CE:

TC:

FI:

Indicator 2.3
Checks for Understanding and Feedback

CEC 4.1, 4.3.

Checks for understanding:

- do not occur during the lesson to assess students' **progress** towards the objective(s)

Opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning

- students are not provided opportunities to demonstrate their learning, to relearn, redo or be reassessed.

Student self-assessment

- students are not taught or expected to self-assess their work in relation to established criteria for success

Feedback to students is:

- not specific or timely

Checks for understanding:

- occur during the lesson but the data is not used to **adjust instruction**
- data is gathered from some but not all students

Opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning

- students are given only a single opportunity to demonstrate their learning
- students are provided an opportunity to redo or be reassessed without an opportunity to relearn

Student self-assessment

- students are not taught or expected to self-assess their work in relation to established criteria for success

Feedback to students is:

- timely
- related to established criteria for success
- not accompanied by a clear expectation that the student will use the feedback to revise or improve their performance

Checks for understanding:

- occur frequently** and at **critical moments** throughout the lesson
- assess student **progress** towards the objective
- data** is gathered from **all students**
- data** is used to **adjust instruction**

Opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning

- students are given multiple opportunities and options to demonstrate their learning
- reteaching is provided for students who need it
- students are given opportunities to redo and to be reassessed on assignments/tasks

Student self-assessment

- students are taught and expected to self-assess their work in relation to established criteria for success

Feedback to student is:

- timely
- frequent
- specifically related to established criteria for success
- matched to student/s
- accompanied by a clear expectation that the student will use the feedback to revise or improve performance

AND

Student self-assessment:

- Students can independently self-assess and determine the steps needed to improve.

Feedback

- Students are taught – and receive guided practice on – how to provide academically focused feedback to each other.

*Explanatory devices are defined as analogies, metaphors, gestures, demonstrations, modeling, think-aloud, physical models, visual representations, graphic organizers, interactive whiteboards, mental imagery, presentation software, minimal and progressive cueing, simulations, educational games, and role plays.

**Logically aligned means to consider the knowledge and activities necessary to accomplish the objective, a progression in level of difficulty (Blooms Taxonomy), and the gradual

release of responsibility to enable students to transfer, retain, and independently apply their learning.

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Evidence Example: Evidence that **formative assessment** is used to **strategically** adjust instructional pacing, plan differentiated instruction, and monitor progress.

Midway Evidence Goals:	CE: TC: FI:
Final Evidence and Goals:	CE: TC: FI:

Performance Area #3: MAXIMIZING LEARNING: To what extent do all students retain and apply their learning with productive struggle?

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Indicator 3.1 Rigorous assignments CEC 5.1, 5.3.	Assignments are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> not aligned to the standards and lesson's objective, not matched to students or content, and therefore are not cognitively challenging not relevant to the learner and do not require application of knowledge for real purposes not differentiated to meet students' needs 	Assignments are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> aligned to the standards and lesson's objective, not matched to students or content, and therefore are not cognitively challenging relevant to the learner or require application of knowledge for real purposes differentiated to meet groups of students' needs 	Assignments are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> aligned to the standards and lesson's objective, matched to students and content, and therefore are cognitively challenging relevant to the learner and require application of knowledge for real purposes differentiated to meet individual students' needs 	AND <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assignments provide students the opportunity to self-evaluate, reflect and share their problem-solving strategies and/or new ideas. Students are able to self-select from

	Assignments do not require application of content through: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> generating examples reviewing material leading discussions critically analyzing information solving problems 	Assignments provide opportunities to apply content by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> generating examples reviewing material leading discussions critically analyzing information solving problems ...but many students do not engage in those opportunities.	Assignments provide opportunities to apply content by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> generating examples reviewing material leading discussions critically analyzing information solving problems ...and students engage in those opportunities.	options in assignments. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students apply content by developing possible solutions, addressing local or global issues, and/or develop creative approaches.
--	--	---	---	---

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Evidence Example 1: Evidence that students are given **multiple ways to demonstrate learning** and understanding of new content.

Midway Evidence Goals:	CE: TC: FI:
Final Evidence and Goals:	CE: TC: FI:

Indicator 3.2 Questioning and Discussion	Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> are not aligned to the objective do not follow a continuum from simple to more complex and/or 	Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> are aligned to the objective do not follow a continuum from simple to more complex and/or concrete to 	Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> are aligned to the objective follow a continuum from simple to more complex and/or concrete to 	AND <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students engage in accountable talk* to challenge thinking,
---	--	---	--	--

CEC 5.2, 5.3., 5.6.	<p>concrete to abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> are not accompanied by wait time, prompts and/or scaffolds to help students answer questions <p>Students are not expected to support response with evidence and/or explanations.</p> <p>Class discussions are not evident:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> primarily teacher talk students do not ask or pose their own questions no structures are in place that promote engagement in class discussions 	<p>abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> are accompanied by wait time but no prompts and/or scaffolds when needed to help students answer questions <p>Students are prompted to respond to questions using evidence and/or explanations when appropriate.</p> <p>Class discussions are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> primarily between teacher and students but do not include all students primarily among students, but the discussion is low level few structures are in place that promote engagement by all students 	<p>abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> are accompanied by wait time, prompts, and/or scaffolds to help students answer questions <p>Students are expected to respond to questions using evidence and/or explanations when appropriate.</p> <p>Class Discussions are robust:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> primarily with student talk students asking questions of teacher and peers to expand on thinking structures in place that promote engagement by all students 	<p>push for evidence, and/or refine arguments.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students initiate class discussions and peer collaboration. Students engage in productive academic discussions where they challenge one another's thinking. Students encourage one another for evidence.
---------------------	---	--	---	---

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
<p>Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI"</p> <p>P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable</p> <p>Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric</p>	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Evidence Example 2: Evidence of **Discussion Routines** that clearly outline expectations and processes for whole group and/or small group discussions.

Midway Evidence Goals:	CE: TC: FI:
Final Evidence and Goals:	CE: TC: FI:

Indicator 3.3 Academic Language and Vocabulary CEC 3.1	Academic Language & Vocabulary is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> not used or used incorrectly not explicitly taught or not expected to be used/known by students <p>Few to no opportunities are provided for students to use academic language and vocabulary.</p> <p>Students are not prompted to use academic language or vocabulary and/or not corrected when academic language and vocabulary is misused.</p>	Academic Language & Vocabulary is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> modeled inaccurately or used appropriately but errors are self-corrected not explicitly taught but students are expected to know <p>Opportunities to use academic language and vocabulary are not authentic and rigorous.</p> <p>Students are prompted to use academic language and vocabulary and are corrected when it is misused.</p>	Academic Language & Vocabulary is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> modeled accurately and appropriately explicitly taught expected to be used/known by students <p>Opportunities to use academic language and vocabulary are authentic and rigorous.</p> <p>Students use academic language and vocabulary to explain and elaborate on their thinking with no or minimal teacher prompting.</p>	AND <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students take responsibility to help other understand academic language and vocabulary. Students prompt other to use academic language and vocabulary. Students correct peers when academic language and vocabulary is misused.
--	--	--	---	--

*Accountable talk requires students to further develop what others have said and demands students to use accurate knowledge that is relevant to the discussion and requires students to use appropriate evidence to defend such knowledge.

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a “P” or “NI” P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Evidence Example: Evidence that **visual supports** are provided for academic vocabulary (*photographs, pictures, actual items*).

Midway Evidence Goals:	CE:
	TC:
	FI:
Final Evidence and	CE:

Goals:	TC: FI:
--------	------------

Professional Dispositions	Rarely	Sometimes, but not consistently	Consistently	No behaviors related to this indicator observed NA
As an effective educator, the teacher candidate:	1	2	3	NA
Professionalism 1 Demonstrates commitment to the belief that all learners can achieve by persisting in helping each learner reach his/her full potential.				

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Professionalism 2 Exhibits enthusiasm, initiative, and a positive attitude.

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Professionalism 3

Respects and considers the input and contributions of families, colleagues, and other professionals in understanding and supporting each learner's development. (CEC 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4)

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Professionalism 4

Respects learners as individuals with differing personal and family backgrounds, and with varying skills, abilities, perspectives, talents, and interests; he/she is committed to using this information to plan effective instruction. (CEC 2.1 2.2)

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Professionalism 5

Takes responsibility for his/her learners' learning and uses ongoing analysis and reflection using current research, education, and policy to improve his/her planning and practice. (CEC 1.3)

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a “P” or “NI” P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Professionalism 6

Reflects on constructive criticism and guidance, and appropriately modifies his/her behavior or practice.

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a “P” or “NI” P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Professionalism 7

Demonstrates the ethical use of assessment and assessment data to identify learners’ strengths and needs (e.g., shares learner data appropriately). (CEC 1.1.)

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a “P” or “NI” P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Professionalism 8

Demonstrates professionalism by being on time; representing him/herself appropriately through dress, language and communications, including social media; and meeting deadlines.

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Professional Disposition Evidence	
--	--

Special Education Specific Addendum:

As an effective educator, the teacher candidate:	Level 1 Not Apparent	Level 2 Emerging	Level 3 Proficient	Level 4 Advanced
ADDENDUM 1 Accurately represents important content concepts (CEC 3.1, 3.2).	Candidate's plans show lack of understanding of content.	Candidate's plans show accurate but insufficient details of the content.	Candidate's plans show accurate and sufficiently comprehensive details of the content.	Candidate's plans show advanced understanding of comprehensive details of the content.

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
--	-------------------	--------	-------------------	--------	------------------	--------

Progress Conference: use a “P” or “NI” P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Evidence Example: Evidence that the teacher provides a **rationale** for why the new learning is **important** by explaining the **benefits** of learning a concept, skill or process and how it **applies** to the students’ lives at home, work, or school

Midway Evidence Goals:

CE:
TC:
FI:

Final Evidence and Goals:

CE:
TC:
FI:

ADDENDUM 2

Uses small group/ individual instruction (including flexible grouping) and other strategies to promote access to the general education curriculum and meet the academic needs of each individual student (CEC 3.2, 5.5, 5.6)

Candidate rarely or never uses small group and individual instruction and other strategies to promote access to general education curriculum and meet student needs.

Candidate occasionally uses small group and individual instruction and other strategies to promote access to general education curriculum and meet student needs.

Candidate frequently uses small group and individual instruction and other strategies to promote access to general education curriculum and meet student needs.

Candidate frequently uses small group and individual instruction and other strategies to promote access to general education curriculum and meet student needs. Grouping decisions are data-based and evaluated for their effectiveness.

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
--	--------------------------	---------------	--------------------------	---------------	-------------------------	---------------

Progress Conference: use a “P” or “NI” P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Evidence Example: Evidence of grouping structures that support learning (Jigsaw, Turn and Talk, Pairs Check, Think-Pair-Share, etc.)

Midway Evidence Goals:	CE: TC: FI:
Final Evidence and Goals:	CE: TC: FI:

ADDENDUM Implements established guidelines for learners’ behavior	Candidate does not establish and/or teach guidelines for routines and behaviors.	Candidate establishes guidelines for routines and expectations for learner behavior, but they are not clear, developmentally appropriate, or with logical consequences.	Candidate establishes and teaches clear, developmentally appropriate guidelines for routines and expectations for learner behavior, with some interventions and strategies to support individual student needs.	Candidate establishes and teaches clear, developmentally appropriate guidelines for routines and expectations for learner behavior with logical consequences. Individual, small group, and whole class supports are established and implemented.
---	--	---	---	--

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a “P” or “NI” P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	

Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric		Final		Final		Midway	
Evidence Example: Evidence of demonstration, modeling, practice, or reinforcement of behavior expectations.							
Midway Evidence Goals:	CE: TC: FI:						
Final Evidence and Goals:	CE: TC: FI:						

ADDENDUM 4 Plan, implement, and evaluate the effectiveness of interventions, strategies, and supports to increase positive behaviors and reduce disruptive behaviors. (CEC 6.3).	Candidate does not intervene to address behaviors that interfere with learning and safety.	Candidate inconsistently intervenes to support positive behaviors and to address behaviors that interfere with learning and safety.	Candidate consistently intervenes to support positive behaviors and addresses behaviors that interfere with learning and safety. Targeted supports are planned and implemented for individual learners.	Candidate consistently intervenes to support positive behaviors and addresses behaviors that interfere with learning and safety. Targeted supports are planned, implemented, and evaluated using a variety of data sources.
---	--	---	---	---

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final:	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Use number ratings from the rubric						
Evidence Example: Evidence of demonstration, modeling, practice, or reinforcement of behavior expectations						
Midway Evidence Goals:	CE:					
	TC:					
	FI:					
Final Evidence and Goals:	CE:					
	TC:					
	FI:					

ADDENDUM 5 Makes content explicit through explanation, modeling, representations, and examples (CEC 5.2, 5.3, 5.5, 5.6).	Candidate does not make content explicit using the strategies identified.	Candidate uses explanation, and examples to attempt to build learners' understanding.	Candidate uses representations and examples to build learners' understanding, highlights core ideas, and uses modeling and demonstrating.	Candidate uses representations and examples to build learners' understanding and remediate misconceptions, highlights core ideas while sidelining potentially distracting ones, and makes their thinking visible during modeling and demonstrating.
--	---	---	---	---

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	
Evidence Example: Evidence that the learning experiences engage students in developing the knowledge, skills, and concepts needed to understand the content.						

Midway Evidence Goals:	CE: TC: FI:
Final Evidence and Goals:	CE: TC: FI:

ADDENDUM 6 Adjusts lessons based on learners' (CEC 1.3, 4.3).	Candidate does not make instructional adjustments made that are relevant to the learners' responses	Candidates make instructional adjustments are related to the learners' responses, but are ineffective.	Candidates make instructional adjustments for some individuals or groups of learners that provide support needed to improve their learning.	Candidates make instructional adjustments for individuals and groups of learners that provide support needed to improve their learning.
---	---	--	---	---

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a "P" or "NI" P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Evidence Example: Evidence that the teacher effectively uses student responses to questions to make inferences about student progress and to adjust/continue instruction accordingly.

Midway Evidence Goals:	CE: TC: FI:
Final Evidence and	CE:

Goals:	TC: FI:
--------	----------------

ADDENDUM 7 Works with other professionals to plan and facilitate learning (CEC, 7.2, 7.3)	Candidate does not work effectively with other professionals.	Candidate sometimes works effectively with other professionals, but only during required times (e.g., PLC, PD, department meetings).	Candidate effectively collaborates with other professionals to plan and facilitate learning.	Candidate effectively collaborates with other professionals to plan and facilitate learning. Candidate collaborates with other professional to support language, social and emotional well-being, including specific collaboration activities with families.
---	---	--	--	--

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
Progress Conference: use a “P” or “NI” P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Evidence Examples: The candidate communicated with team teachers for MTSS to effectively plan instruction for students.

Midway Evidence Goals:	CE: TC: FI:
Final Evidence and Goals:	CE: TC: FI:

<p>ADDENDUM 8</p> <p>When available, supports and uses effective strategies for paraprofessionals (CEC 7.4)</p> <p><i>Do not rate if paraprofessionals are not present.</i></p>	<p>Candidate does not effectively plan or support paraprofessionals in their setting.</p>	<p>Candidate sometimes or occasionally communicates and supports paraprofessionals.</p>	<p>Candidate clearly communicates expectations with paraprofessionals, including planning instructional activities and facilitating behavior supports.</p>	<p>Candidate clearly communicates expectations with paraprofessionals, including planning instructional activities and facilitating behavior supports and provides feedback to paraprofessionals to support their work.</p>
--	---	---	--	---

	Clinical Educator	Rating	Teacher Candidate	Rating	Field Instructor	Rating
<p>Progress Conference: use a “P” or “NI”</p> <p>P = Progressing NI = Needs Improvement N/A = Not Applicable</p> <p>Midway/Final: Use number ratings from the rubric</p>	Week 4 Progress Conference		Week 4 Progress Conference		N/A	
	Midway		Midway		Midway	
	Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		Week 11 Progress Conference if needed		N/A	
	Final		Final		Midway	

Evidence Example: The candidate regularly meets with paraprofessionals to provide direction and to identify the needs of students.

<p>Midway Evidence Goals:</p>	<p>CE:</p> <p>TC:</p> <p>FI:</p>
<p>Final Evidence and Goals:</p>	<p>CE:</p> <p>TC:</p> <p>FI:</p>