University of Delaware Teacher Candidate Special Education Capstone Clinical Experience Evaluation

In order to pass *EDUC 400: Student Teaching*, teacher candidates must pass the DTGSS Evaluation including professional dispositions and certification addendum. Unsatisfactory performance on the FINAL evaluation is a score of 1 in any indicator (including dispositions).

Teacher Candidate: Clinical Educator:

Field Instructor: School and Grade Level: Number of Classes: Total Number of Students:

Performance A	Performance Area #1: LEARNING ENVIRONMENT: To what extent does the classroom environment support all students to learn?								
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4					
Indicator 1.1	Routines, procedures, and behavior	Routines, procedures, and behavior	Routines, procedures and behavior	AND					
	expectations	expectations	expectations	 Students take 					
Structures for	 are not taught 	 are clearly communicated but are not 	 are clearly communicated, and taught 	initiative to manage					
Learning	 are not clearly communicated, 	taught to mastery	to mastery, maximizing instructional	classroom					
CEC 6.1., 6.2	causing loss of instructional time	 do not match to students' needs 	time	procedures and					
		 require prompts that cause loss of 	are matched to students' needs	their own behavior.					
	Student behavior	instructional time		Students prompt					
	 detracts from others' learning 		Student behavior	others to follow					
	is not addressed	Student behavior	is appropriate, or	classroom					
		interrupts the lesson	 when inappropriate, is addressed 	procedures,					
		is addressed and improved	effectively and does not detract from	routines and					
			the lesson	expectations.					

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
Ratings	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final

Claim: The teacher (does/does not) establish clear and efficient routines, procedures, and transitions which (does/does not) maximize instructional time.

Evidence Example: Evidence of routines and procedures and/or **transitions** for instructional groups, group work, centers.

Midway Claim and Evidence Goals:	
Evidence Goals:	
Final Claim and	
Evidence and	
Goals:	

Positive Classroom

Climate

Indicator 1.2

CEC 6.1, 6.2

Classroom interactions:

- are not respectful
- students do not listen attentively, and teacher does not refocus students' attention

When working together, students:

• do not share work **responsibility**

Students:

- do not persevere
- are not motivated to complete quality work
- are not prompted or encouraged

Classroom interactions:

- teacher to student interactions are respectful
- student to student interactions are not respectful unless prompted by the teacher
- students listen attentively to teacher but not to peers
- prompting is not effective

When working together, students

- share work responsibility
- do not offer support to one another

Students:

- are prompted to **persevere**
- praised for their efforts to complete quality work

Classroom interactions:

- teacher to student interactions are respectful
- student to student interactions are respectful
- students listen attentively to teacher and peers

When working together, students:

- share work responsibility
- offer and receive support from one another

Students:

- persevere
- complete quality work
- require minimal to no prompting

AND

- Students independently use problem solving and conflict resolution skills during cooperative learning opportunities.
- Students encourage others to persevere.

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
Ratings	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final
Natings						

Claim: The teacher (does/does not) emphasize the importance of fostering a positive, healthy classroom climate by establishing expectations for student interactions that are respectful and caring.

Evidence Example: Evidence of Anchor Charts or other tools posted or available in the classroom that provide a **visual reference** or resource for students of what "respect" looks like/sounds like.

Midway Claim and Evidence Goals:	
Final Claim and	
Evidence and	
Goals:	

Indicator 1.3

Equitable Access

CEC 1.2

High expectations for learning and achievement for all students are not communicated, demonstrated/modeled.

Students:

- do not have access to a variety of tools and/or materials to meet their needs and do not have support for learning
- are not provided equitable*
 opportunities to respond and
 participate and do not engage
- do not share their interests and/or perspectives and do not accept others' interests and perspectives as worthy
- do not share their interests and/or perspectives nor build relationships and connect to their academic work

Differences related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges are not respected and affirmed.

High expectations for learning and achievement for all students are communicated, demonstrated/modeled but with limited impact on students.

Students:

- have access to a variety of tools and/or materials to meet their needs but are not encouraged to use them to support learning.
- are provided equitable* opportunities to respond and participate but are not encouraged to engage
- are not encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives and to accept others' interests and perspectives are worthy
- are not encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives to build relationships and connect to their academic work

Differences related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges are addressed inconsistently.

High expectations for learning and achievement for all students are communicated, demonstrated/modeled.

Students:

- ae provided a variety of tools and/or materials to meet their needs and encouraged to use them to support learning
- are provided **equitable* opportunities**to respond and participate and
 encouraged to engage
- are encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives and to accept others' interests and perspectives are worthy
- are encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives to build relationships and connect to their academic work

Differences related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges are respected and affirmed.

AND

 Students take initiative to share their interests and perspectives.

*Equitable is defined as what one needs based on their diverse needs related to background knowledge/experiences, language, ability, etc.

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed	

NI = Needs Improvement								
Ratings	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final		
Claim: The teacher(does/does not) provide equitable opp	portunities for all stud	dents to respo	ond and part	icipate in hig	h level learning experi	ences.		
Evidence Example: Evidence of Wait Time (3-5 seconds b	Evidence Example: Evidence of Wait Time (3-5 seconds before affirming, correcting or probing).							
Midway Claim and								
Evidence Goals:								
Final Claim and								
Evidence and								
Goals:								

Performance A	area #2: ENGAGEMENT IN LEARNING:	To what extent does the instruction sup	pport and engage all students?	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Indicator 2.1 Objectives for Learning CEC 5.1	Lesson objectives are: not aligned to grade-level or proficiency standard(s) not written in student friendly language that state what the students should know and be able to do not clearly communicated and accessible to students not revisited throughout the lesson Students do not know what they are learning or why it is important for them to know. Criteria for success: is not communicated nor explained to students prior to beginning an assignment	Lesson objectives are: aligned to grade level standards or proficiency standard(s) not written in student friendly language that state what the students should know and be able to do accessible but not clearly communicated to students not revisited throughout the lesson Students are able to read or describe what they are learning but not why it is important for them to know. Criteria for success: is not aligned to the objective is communicated but not explained to students prior to beginning an assignment	Lesson objectives are: aligned to grade level or proficiency standard(s) written in student friendly language that state what the students should know and be able to do clearly communicated and accessible to students revisited throughout the lesson Students are able to articulate what they are learning and why it is important for them to know. Criteria for success: is aligned to the objective is communicated and explained to students prior to beginning an assignment	Students make connections between what they are currently learning to previous learning and/or to content in other disciplines.

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final
Ratings						
Claim: The teacher (does/does not) incorporate lesson objective: Evidence Example: Evidence that the teacher strategically revision		•			_	
Midway Claim and						
Evidence Goals:						
Final Claim and						
Evidence and						
Goals:						

Indicator 2.2

Learning Experiences

CEC 5.2, 5.3

Learning experiences:

- are activities not logically**
 aligned to the objective
- are primarily teacher led
- are not coherently sequenced according to major concepts required to master the objective
- pacing does not provide adequate processing time
- include content input but do not include opportunities for students to process
- do not include an activator or preassessment of students' prior knowledge
- do not include a way for students to summarize their learning

Explanatory Devices*:

 do not support achievement of the objective

Learning experiences:

- are logically**aligned to the objective
- are primarily teacher led
- are coherently sequenced but pacing does not provide adequate processing time
- are inconsistent when balancing content input and opportunities for students to process
- include an activator that does not align with the objective
- are not based on data gathered through an activator or preassessment
- do not address prior misconceptions
- include a closing activity but the activity does not provide data that assesses student mastery of the objective

Explanatory Devices*:

Learning experiences:

- are logically** aligned to the objective
- are both teacher and student led/directed
- are coherently sequenced and paced according to major concepts required to master the objective with adequate processing time
- include a **balance of content input** and opportunities for students to **process**
- are based on an activator or assessment of prior knowledge and anticipation of misconceptions
- include a strategy for students to summarize their learning

Explanatory Devices*:

- are varied and well-chosen to match the needs of the learners
- support student achievement of the objective

AND

Learning experiences:

- Students are provided opportunities to progress at different learning rates.
- Students who finish early are engaged in meaningful learning opportunities.
- Students are provided choice in the materials they can use and in grouping arrangements.

	are not matched to the objective or the learning		
--	---	--	--

		Clinical Edu	ıcator	Teacher C	andidate	Field Instructor	
	Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
	P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
N	I = Needs Improvement						
		Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final
	Ratings						
Claim: The teacher (d	does/does not) align the learning experience	s to the lesso	n objective.				
Evidence Example: E	vidence that there is a gradual release of re	sponsibility f	or students to	o transfer, r	etain and/or	apply their new learn	ing.
Midway Claim and							
Evidence Goals:							
Final Claim and							
Evidence and							
Goals:							

Indicator 2.3

Checks for Understanding and Feedback

CEC 4.1, 4.3.

Checks for understanding:

do not occur during the lesson to assess students' **progress** towards the objective(s)

Opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning

 students are not provided opportunities to demonstrate their learning, to relearn, redo or be reassessed.

Student self-assessment

 students are not taught or expected to self-assess their work in relation to established criteria for success

Checks for understanding:

- occur during the lesson but the data is not used to adjust instruction
- data is gathered from some but not all students

Opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning

- students are given only a single opportunity to demonstrate their learning
- students are provided an opportunity to redo or be reassessed without an opportunity to relearn

Student self-assessment

Checks for understanding:

- occur frequently and at critical moments throughout the lesson
- assess student progress towards the objective
- data is gathered from all students
- data is used to adjust instruction

Opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning

- students are given multiple opportunities and options to demonstrate their learning
- reteaching is provided for students who need it

AND

Student self-assessment:

 Students can independently selfassess and determine the steps needed to improve.

Feedback

 Students are taught – and receive guided practice on – how to provide academically focused feedback to each other.

Feedback to students is:

• not specific or timely

 students are not taught or expected to self-assess their work in relation to established criteria for success

Feedback to students is:

- timely
- related to established criteria for success
- not accompanied by a clear expectation that the student will use the feedback to revise or improve their performance

students are given opportunities to redo and to be reassessed on assignments/tasks

Student self-assessment

 students are taught and expected to self-assess their work in relation to established criteria for success

Feedback to student is:

- timely
- frequent
- specifically related to established criteria for success
- matched to student/s
- accompanied by a clear expectation that the student will use the feedback to revise or improve performance

	Clinical Edu	cator	Teacher Ca	andidate	Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final
Ratings						
Claim: The teacher (does/does not) assess students' progress tov	vards the less	on objective.	•			
Evidence Example: Evidence that formative assessment is used t	o stratogicall	wadiust instr	ustional nac	ing plan diff	prophiated instruction	and monitor
•	O Strategican	y aujust ilisti	uctional pac	ilig, piail uille	erentiated instruction,	, and monitor
progress.						
Midway Claim and						
Evidence Goals:						
Evidence Godis.						

^{*}Explanatory devices are defined as analogies, metaphors, gestures, demonstrations, modeling, think-aloud, physical models, visual representations, graphic organizers, interactive whiteboards, mental imagery, presentation software, minimal and progressive cueing, simulations, educational games, and role plays.

^{**}Logically aligned means to consider the knowledge and activities necessary to accomplish the objective, a progression in level of difficulty (Blooms Taxonomy), and the gradual release of responsibility to enable students to transfer, retain, and independently apply their learning.

Final Claim and	
Evidence and	
Goals:	

Performance A	Performance Area #3: MAXIMIZING LEARNING: To what extent do all students retain and apply their learning with productive struggle?									
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4						
Indicator 3.1 Rigorous assignments CEC 5.1, 5.3.	Assignments are: not aligned to the standards and lesson's objective, not matched to students or content, and therefore are not cognitively challenging not relevant to the learner and do not require application of knowledge for real purposes not differentiated to meet students' needs Assignments do not require application of content through: generating examples reviewing material leading discussions critically analyzing information solving problems	Assignments are: • aligned to the standards and lesson's objective, not matched to students or content, and therefore are not cognitively challenging • relevant to the learner or require application of knowledge for real purposes • differentiated to meet groups of students' needs Assignments provide opportunities to apply content by: • generating examples • reviewing material • leading discussions • critically analyzing information • solving problems	Assignments are: • aligned to the standards and lesson's objective, matched to students and content, and therefore are cognitively challenging • relevant to the learner and require application of knowledge for real purposes • differentiated to meet individual students' needs Assignments provide opportunities to apply content by: • generating examples • reviewing material • leading discussions • critically analyzing information • solving problems	Assignments provide students the opportunity to self- evaluate, reflect and share their problem- solving strategies and/or new ideas. Students are able to self-select from options in assignments. Students apply content by developing possible solutions, addressing local or global issues, and/or						
			· · · -	global issues, and/o develop creative approaches.						

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final
Ratings						

Claim: The teacher (does/does not) provide opportunities for students to apply content to generate examples, solve problems, or critically analyze information to display greater mastery of the lesson objective.

Evidence Example 1: Evidence that students are given multiple ways to demonstrate learning and understanding of new content.

Evidence Example 2: Evidence of students using academic language in analysis.

Midway Claim and Evidence Goals:

Final Claim and Evidence and Goals:

Indicator 3.2

Questioning and Discussion

CEC 5.2, 5.3., 5.6.

Questions

- are not aligned to the objective
- do not follow a continuum from simple to more complex and/or concrete to abstract
- are not accompanied by wait time, prompts and/or scaffolds to help students answer questions

Students are not expected to support response with evidence and/or explanations.

Class discussions are not evident:

- primarily teacher talk
- students do not ask or pose their own questions
- no structures are in place that promote engagement in class discussions

Questions

- are aligned to the objective
- do not follow a continuum from simple to more complex and/or concrete to abstract
- are accompanied by wait time but no prompts and/or scaffolds when needed to help students answer questions

Students are prompted to respond to questions using evidence and/or explanations when appropriate.

Class discussions are:

- primarily between teacher and students but do not include all students
- primarily among students, but the discussion is low level
- few structures are in place that promote engagement by all students

Questions

- are aligned to the objective
- follow a continuum from simple to more complex and/or concrete to abstract
- are accompanied by wait time, prompts, and/or scaffolds to help students answer questions

Students are expected to respond to questions using evidence and/or explanations when appropriate.

Class Discussions are robust:

- primarily with student talk
- students asking questions of teacher and peers to expand on thinking
- structures in place that promote engagement by all students

AND

- Students engage in accountable talk* to challenge thinking, push for evidence, and/or refine arguments.
- Students initiate class discussions and peer collaboration.
- Students engage in productive academic discussions where they challenge one another's thinking.
- Students encourage one another for evidence.

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed	

NI = Needs Improvement									
	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final			
Ratings									
Claim 1: The teacher (does/does not) ask high-level questions	that are aligned	I to the lessor	n objective.						
Evidence Example 1: Evidence that effective questioning strategies are used to collect evidence of learning from all students in systematic ways that promote active engagement from students.									
Claim 2: The teacher (does/does not) incorporate opportunities	s for student-to	o- student/stu	ıdent-led dis	cussions.					
Evidence Example 2: Evidence of Discussion Routines that cle	arly outline exp	ectations and	d processes f	or whole gro	up and/or small group	discussions.			
Midway Claim and									
Evidence Goals:									
Final Claim and									
Evidence and									
Goals:									

Indicator 3.3

Academic Language and Vocabulary

CEC 3.1

Academic Language & Vocabulary is:

- not used or used incorrectly
- not **explicitly taught** or not expected to be used/known by students

Few to no opportunities are provided for students to use academic language and vocabulary.

Students are not prompted to use academic language or vocabulary and/or not corrected when academic language and vocabulary is misused.

Academic Language & Vocabulary is:

- modeled inaccurately or used appropriately but errors are selfcorrected
- not explicitly taught but students are expected to know

Opportunities to use academic language and vocabulary are not **authentic and rigorous.**

Students are prompted to use academic language and vocabulary and are corrected when it is misused.

Academic Language & Vocabulary is:

- modeled accurately and appropriately
- explicitly taught
- expected to be used/known by students

Opportunities to use academic language and vocabulary are authentic and rigorous.

Students use academic language and vocabulary to explain and elaborate on their thinking with no or minimal teacher prompting.

AND

- Students take responsibility to help other understand academic language and vocabulary.
- Students prompt other to use academic language and vocabulary.
- Students correct peers when academic language and vocabulary is misused.

^{*}Accountable talk requires students to further develop what others have said and demands students to use accurate knowledge that is relevant to the discussion and requires students to use appropriate evidence to defend such knowledge.

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final
Ratings						
Claim: The teacher (does/does not) provide opportunities for stu	dents to use	academic voc	cabulary in a	rigorous, aut	hentic way.	
Evidence Example: Evidence that visual supports are provided for	or academic v	ocabulary <i>(pi</i>	hotographs,	pictures, acti	ual items).	
Midway Claim and						
Evidence Goals:						
Final Claim and						
Evidence and						
Goals:						

Professional Dispositions As an effective advector the teacher and ideas.	Rarely	Sometimes, but not consistently	Consistently	No behaviors related to this indicator observed			
As an effective educator, the teacher candidate:	1	2	3	NA			
Professionalism 1							
Demonstrates commitment to the belief that all learners can achieve by persisting in helping each learner reach his/her full potential							

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
Ratings	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final

Professionalism 2

Exhibits enthusiasm, initiative, and a positive attitude.

Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final
Ratings						

Professionalism 3

Respects and considers the input and contributions of families, colleagues, and other professionals in understanding and supporting each learner's development. (CEC 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4)

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
Ratings	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final

Professionalism 4

Respects learners as individuals with differing personal and family backgrounds, and with varying skills, abilities, perspectives, talents, and interests; he/she is committed to using this information to plan effective instruction. (CEC 2.1 2.2)

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
Ratings	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final

Professionalism 5

Takes responsibility for his/her learners' learning and uses ongoing analysis and reflection using current research, education, and policy to improve his/her planning and practice. (CEC 1.3)

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
Ratings	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final

Professionalism 6

Reflects on constructive criticism and guidance, and appropriately modifies his/her behavior or practice.

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
Ratings	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final

Professionalism 7

Demonstrates the ethical use of assessment and assessment data to identify learners' strengths and needs (e.g., shares learner data appropriately). (CEC 1.1,)

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final
Ratings						

Professionalism 8

Demonstrates professionalism by being on time; representing him/herself appropriately through dress, language and communications, including social media; and meeting deadlines.

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
Ratings	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final

Special Education Specific Addendum:

As an effective educator, the teacher candidate:	Not Apparent Emerging		Level 3 Proficient	Level 4 Advanced	
ADDENDUM 1 Accurately represents important content concepts (CEC 3.1, 3.2).	Candidate's plans show lack of understanding of content.	Candidate's plans show accurate but insufficient details of the content.	Candidate's plans show accurate and sufficiently comprehensive details of the content.	Candidate's plans show advanced understanding of comprehensive details of the content.	

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final
Ratings						

Claim: The teacher (does/does not) incorporate lesson objectives that are clearly communicated and revisited throughout the lesson.								
Evidence Example: Evidence that the teacher provides a rationale for why the new learning is important by explaining the benefits of learning a concept, skill or process and how it applies to the students' lives at home, work, or school								
Midway Claim and								
Evidence Goals:								
Final Claim and								
Evidence and								
Goals:								

ADDENDUM 2 Candidate rarely or never uses Candidate occasionally uses Candidate frequently uses Candidate frequently uses small group and individual small group and individual small group and individual small group and individual Uses small group/individual instruction and other instruction and other instruction and other instruction and other strategies instruction (including strategies to promote access strategies to promote access strategies to promote access to promote access to general flexible grouping) and other to general education to general education to general education education curriculum and meet strategies to promote curriculum and meet student curriculum and meet curriculum and meet student needs. Grouping access to the general needs. student needs. student needs. decisions are data-based and education curriculum and evaluated for their meet the academic needs effectiveness. of each individual student

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
Ratings	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final

Claim: The teacher (does/does not) provide equitable opportunities for all students to respond and participate in high level learning experiences.

Evidence Example: Evidence of grouping structures that support learning (Jigsaw, Turn and Talk, Pairs Check, Think-Pair-Share, etc.)

(CEC 3.2, 5.5, 5.6)

Midway Claim and	
Evidence Goals:	
Final Claim and Evidence and Goals:	

ADDENDUM	Candidate does not establish	Candidate establishes guidelines	Candidate establishes and	Candidate establishes and teaches
	and/or teach guidelines for	for routines and expectations	teaches clear, developmentally	clear, developmentally appropriate
Implements established	routines and behaviors.	for learner behavior, but they	appropriate guidelines for	guidelines for routines and
guidelines for learners'		are not clear, developmentally	routines and expectations for	expectations for learner behavior
behavior		appropriate, or with logical	learner behavior, with some	with logical consequences.
		consequences.	interventions and strategies to	Individual, small group, and whole
			support individual student	class supports are established and
			needs.	implemented.

		Clinical Edu	cator	Teacher Ca	andidate	Field Instructor		
1	Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable		
	P = Progressing		if needed		if needed			
NI	= Needs Improvement							
		Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	
	Ratings							
Claim: The teacher (do	es/does not) establish and communicate clear b	oehavior expec	tations that ar	e matched to	student needs	i.		
Evidence Example: Ev	idence of demonstration, modeling, practice, or	reinforcemen	t of behavior e	expectations.				
Midway Claim and								
Evidence Goals:								
Final Claim and	Final Claim and							
Evidence and								
Goals:								

ADDENDUM 4	Candidate does not intervene	Candidate inconsistently	Candidate consistently	Candidate consistently
Plan, implement, and evaluate the effectiveness of interventions, strategies, and supports to increase positive behaviors and reduce disruptive behaviors. (CEC 6.3).	to address behaviors that interfere with learning and safety.	intervenes to support positive behaviors and to address behaviors that interfere with learning and safety.	intervenes to support positive behaviors and addresses behaviors that interfere with learning and safety. Targeted supports are planned and implemented for individual learners.	intervenes to support positive behaviors and addresses behaviors that interfere with learning and safety. Targeted supports are planned, implemented, and evaluated using a variety of data sources.

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor		
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable		
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed			
NI = Needs Improvement							
	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	
Ratings							
, i	Claim: The teacher (does/does not) establish and communicate clear behavior expectations that are matched to student needs. Evidence Example: Evidence of demonstration, modeling, practice, or reinforcement of behavior expectations						
Midway Claim and							
Evidence Goals:							
Final Claim and							
Evidence and							
Goals:							

ADDENDUM 5	Candidate does not make	Candidate uses	Candidate uses	Candidate uses representations and
Makes content explicit through explanation, modeling, representations, and examples (CEC 5.2, 5.3, 5.5, 5.6).	content explicit using the strategies identified.	explanation, and examples to attempt to build learners' understanding.	representations and examples to build learners' understanding, highlights core ideas, and uses modeling and demonstrating.	examples to build learners' understanding and remediate misconceptions, highlights core ideas while sidelining potentially distracting ones, and makes their thinking visible during modeling and demonstrating.

		Clinical Edu	cator	Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
	Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
	P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
N	I = Needs Improvement						
		Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final
	Ratings						
Claim: The teacher (do	pes/does not) align the learning experiences to the	ne lesson objec	tive.				
Evidence Evample: E	vidence that the learning experiences engage stu	idents in devel	oning the know	wladga skills	and concents	nooded to understand th	ho
•	vidence that the learning experiences engage stu	idents in dever	oping the know	wieuge, skiiis,	and concepts	needed to understand th	iie
content.							
Midway Claim and							
Evidence Goals:							
Final Claim and							
Evidence and							
Goals:							

ADDENDUM 6	Candidate does not make	Candidates make	Candidates make instructional	Candidates make instructional
	instructional adjustments	instructional	adjustments for some	adjustments for individuals and
Adjusts lessons based on	made that are relevant to the	adjustments are related	individuals or groups of	groups of learners that provide
learners' (CEC 1.3, 4.3).	learners' responses	to the learners'	learners that provide support	support needed to improve their
		responses, but are	needed to improve their	learning.
		ineffective.	learning.	

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
Ratings	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final

Claim: The teacher (does/does not) assess students' progress towards the lesson objective.

Evidence Example: Evidence that the teacher effectively uses student responses to questions to make inferences about student progress and to

adjust/continue instru	uction accordingly.
Midway Claim and Evidence Goals:	
Evidence Goals:	
Final Claim and	
Evidence and	
Goals:	

ADDENDUM 7 Works with other professionals to plan and facilitate learning (CEC, 7.2, 7.3)	Candidate does not work effectively with other professionals.	Candidate sometimes works effectively with other professionals, but only during required times (e.g., PLC, PD, department meetings).	Candidate effectively collaborates with other professionals to plan and facilitate learning.	Candidate effectively collaborates with other professionals to plan and facilitate learning. Candidate collaborates with other professional to support language, social and emotional well-being, including
				specific collaboration activities with families.

	Clinical Educator		Teacher Candidate		Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
Ratings	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final
Claim and Evidence Examples: The candidate communicates a	nd meets with	other profess	sionals (e.g.,	Related Servi	ce Providers, Paraedu	cators, General
Educators, Building Coordinators, etc.) to effectively plan instru	ction for stude	nts.				
Midway Claim and						
Evidence Goals:						
Final Claim and						
Evidence and						
Goals:						

ADDENDUM 8	Candidate does not effectively	Candidate sometimes or	Candidate clearly	Candidate clearly communicates
When available, supports and uses effective strategies for paraprofessionals (CEC 7.4) Do not rate if paraprofessionals are not present.	plan or support paraprofessionals in their setting.	occasionally communicates and supports paraprofessionals.	communicates expectations with paraprofessionals, including planning instructional activities and facilitating behavior supports.	expectations with paraprofessionals, including planning instructional activities and facilitating behavior supports and provides feedback to paraprofessionals to support their work.

	Clinical Edu	Clinical Educator		andidate	Field Instructor	
Progress Conference	Week 4	Week 11	Week 4	Week 11	Not Applicable	
P = Progressing		if needed		if needed		
NI = Needs Improvement						
	Midway	Final	Midway	Final	Midway	Final
Ratings						
Claim and Evidence Examples: The candidate regularly med	ets with paraprofe	ssionals to pr	ovide directi	on and to ide	ntify the needs of stud	dents.
Midway Claim and						
Evidence Goals:						
Final Claim and						
Evidence and						
Goals:						