# University of Delaware Teacher Candidate Middle School ELA Capstone Clinical Experience Evaluation

In order to pass *EDUC 400: Student Teaching*, teacher candidates must pass the DTGSS Evaluation including professional dispositions and certification addendum. Unsatisfactory performance on the FINAL evaluation is a score of 1 in any indicator (including dispositions).

Teacher Candidate:

Field Instructor:

Number of Classes:

Clinical Educator:

School and Grade Level:

Total Number of Students:

	Performance Area #1: LEARNING ENVIRONMENT: To what extent does the classroom environment support all students to learn?										
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4							
Indicator 1.1 Structures for Learning	Routines, procedures, and behavior expectations	Routines, procedures, and behavior expectations	Routines, procedures, and behavior expectations	AND  Students take initiative to manage classroom procedures and their own behavior.  Students prompt others to follow classroom procedures, routines, and expectations.							

	reacher Candidate F					Field instructor						
Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC n/a	MW	PC n/a	F
Evidence									ny u		11, 4	

# Indicator 1.2 Positive Classroom Climate

#### Classroom interactions:

- are not respectful
- students do not listen attentively, and teacher does not refocus students' attention

### When working together, students:

do not share work responsibility

#### Students:

- do not persevere
- are not motivated to complete quality work
- are not prompted or encouraged

#### Classroom interactions:

- teacher to student interactions are respectful
- student to student interactions are not respectful unless prompted by the teacher
- students listen attentively to teacher but not to peers
- prompting is not effective

### When working together, students

- share work responsibility
- do not offer support to one another

#### Students:

- are prompted to persevere
- praised for their efforts to complete quality work

#### Classroom interactions:

- teacher to student interactions are respectful
- student to student interactions are respectful
- students listen attentively to teacher and peers

### When working together, students:

- share work responsibility
- offer and receive support from one another

#### Students:

- persevere
- complete quality work
- require minimal to no prompting

#### AND

- y use problem solving and conflict resolution skills during cooperative learning opportunities
- Students encourage others to persevere.

#### **Clinical Educator**

#### **Teacher Candidate**

#### **Field Instructor**

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC n/a	MW	PC n/a	F
Evidence												

#### Equitable Access

1.3

Indicator

# **High expectations for learning and achievement** for all students are not

communicated, demonstrated/modeled.

#### Students:

- do not have access to a variety of tools and/or materials to meet their needs and do not have support for learning
- are not provided equitable\* opportunities to respond and participate and do not engage

# High expectations for learning and achievement for all students are communicated, demonstrated/modeled but with limited impact on students.

#### Students:

- have access to a variety of tools and/or materials to meet their needs but are not encouraged to use them to support learning.
- are provided equitable\*
   opportunities to respond
   and participate but are not
   encouraged to engage

High expectations for learning and achievement for all students are communicated, demonstrated/modeled.

#### Students:

- ae provided a variety of tools and/or materials to meet their needs and encouraged to use them to support learning
- are provided equitable\*
   opportunities to respond
   and participate and
   encouraged to engage
- are encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives and to accept

#### AND

 Students take initiative to share their interests and perspectives.

- do not share their interests and/or perspectives and do not accept others' interests and perspectives as worthy
- do not share their interests and/or perspectives nor build relationships and connect to their academic work

Differences related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges are not respected and affirmed.

- are not encouraged to share their interests
   and/or perspectives and to accept others' interests
   and perspectives are worthy
- are not encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives to build relationships and connect to their academic work

**Differences** related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges are addressed inconsistently.

perspectives are worthy
 are encouraged to share their interests and/or perspectives to build relationships and connect to their academic work

others' interests and

**Differences** related to background, identity, language, strengths, and challenges are respected and affirmed.

#### **Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate Field Instructor** PC F PC **Ratings** MW PC MW PC F PC MW PC F n/a n/a **Evidence**

#### Performance Area #2: ENGAGEMENT IN LEARNING: To what extent does the instruction support and engage all students? Level 4 Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Indicator **Lesson objectives** are: Lesson objectives are: Lesson objectives are: AND 2.1 not aligned to gradealigned to grade level aligned to grade level or Students make standards or proficiency level or proficiency proficiency standard(s) connections **Objectives** standard(s) standard(s) written in student friendly between what for not written in **student** not written in **student** language that state what they are Learning **friendly** language that **friendly** language that the students should know currently state what the students state what the students and be able to do learning to should know and be should know and be able clearly communicated and previous able to do to do accessible to students learning and/or not clearly accessible but not clearly revisited throughout the to content in communicated and communicated to lesson other accessible to students students disciplines.

<sup>\*</sup>Equitable is defined as what one needs based on their diverse needs related to background knowledge/experiences, language, ability, etc.

• not **revisited** throughout the lesson

Students do not **know** what they are learning or **why** it is important for them to know.

#### **Criteria for success:**

 is not communicated nor explained to students prior to beginning an assignment • not **revisited** throughout the lesson

Students are able to read or describe what they are learning but not why it is important for them to know.

#### Criteria for success:

- is not aligned to the objective
- is communicated but not explained to students prior to beginning an assignment

Students are able to articulate what they are learning and why it is important for them to know.

#### Criteria for success:

- is **aligned** to the objective
- is communicated and explained to students prior to beginning an assignment

#### **Clinical Educator**

#### **Teacher Candidate**

#### **Field Instructor**

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC n/a	MW	PC n/a	F
Evidence												

#### Indicator 2.2

### Learning Experiences

#### **Learning experiences:**

- are activities not logically\*\* aligned to the objective
- are primarily teacher led
- are not coherently sequenced according to major concepts required to master the objective
- pacing does not provide adequate processing
- include content input but do not include opportunities for students to process
- do not include an activator or preassessment of students' prior knowledge

#### Learning experiences:

- are logically\*\*aligned to the objective
- are primarily teacher led
- are coherently sequenced but pacing does not provide adequate
   processing time
- are inconsistent when balancing content input and opportunities for students to process
- include an activator that does not align with the objective
- are not based on data gathered through an activator or preassessment
- do not address prior misconceptions
- include a closing activity but the activity does not provide data that assesses

#### Learning experiences:

- are logically\*\* aligned to the objective
- are both teacher and student led/directed
- are coherently sequenced and paced according to major concepts required to master the objective with adequate processing time
- include a balance of content input and opportunities for students to process
- are based on an activator or assessment of prior knowledge and anticipation of misconceptions
- include a strategy for students to summarize their learning

#### **Explanatory Devices\*:**

# AND Learning experiences:

- Students are provided opportunities to progress at different learning rates.
- Students who finish early are engaged in meaningful learning opportunities.
- Students are provided choice in the materials they can use and in grouping arrangements.

do not include a way for students to summarize their learning

#### **Explanatory Devices\*: Explanatory Devices\*:**

- do not support achievement of the objective
- student mastery of the objective
- are not matched to the objective or the learning
- are varied and well-chosen to match the needs of the learners
- support student achievement of the objective

#### **Clinical Educator**

#### **Teacher Candidate**

#### Field Instructor

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC n/a	MW	PC n/a	F
Evidence												

#### Indicator 2.3

#### Checks for Understanding and Feedback

#### Checks for understanding:

do not occur during the lesson to assess students' progress towards the objective(s)

#### **Opportunities for students** to demonstrate their learning

students are not provided opportunities to demonstrate their learning, to relearn, redo or be reassessed.

#### Student self-assessment

students are not taught or expected to selfassess their work in relation to established criteria for success

#### Feedback to students is:

not specific or timely

#### Checks for understanding:

- occur during the lesson but the data is not used to adjust instruction
- data is gathered from some but not all students

#### Opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning

- students are given only a single opportunity to demonstrate their learning
- students are provided an opportunity to redo or be reassessed without an opportunity to relearn

#### Student self-assessment

students are not taught or expected to self-assess their work in relation to established criteria for success

#### Feedback to students is:

- related to established criteria for success
- not accompanied by a clear expectation that the student will use the feedback to revise or

#### Checks for understanding:

- occur frequently and at critical moments throughout the lesson
- assess student progress towards the objective
- data is gathered from all students
- data is used to adjust instruction

#### Opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning

- students are given multiple opportunities and options to demonstrate their learning
- reteaching is provided for students who need it
- students are given opportunities to redo and to be reassessed on assignments/tasks

#### Student self-assessment

students are taught and expected to self-assess their work in relation to established criteria for success

#### AND

#### Student selfassessment:

Students can independently self-assess and determine the steps needed to improve.

#### Feedback

Students are taught – and receive guided practice on how to provide academically focused feedback to each other.

	improve their performance	Feedback to student is:  timely frequent specifically related to established criteria for success matched to student/s accompanied by a clear expectation that the student will use the feedback to revise or improve performance	
--	------------------------------	---	--

<sup>\*</sup>Explanatory devices are defined as analogies, metaphors, gestures, demonstrations, modeling, think-aloud, physical models, visual representations, graphic organizers, interactive whiteboards, mental imagery, presentation software, minimal and progressive cueing, simulations, educational games, and role plays.

#### Clinical Educator

#### **Teacher Candidate**

#### **Field Instructor**

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC n/a	MW	PC n/a	F
Evidence												

# Performance Area #3: MAXIMIZING LEARNING: To what extent do all students retain and apply their learning with productive struggle?

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Indicator 3.1 Rigorous assignments	Assignments are:  not aligned to the standards and lesson's objective, not matched to students or content, and therefore are not cognitively challenging not relevant to the learner and do not require application of knowledge for real purposes not differentiated to meet students' needs	Assignments are:  aligned to the standards and lesson's objective, not matched to students or content, and therefore are not cognitively challenging relevant to the learner or require application of knowledge for real purposes differentiated to meet groups of students' needs	Assignments are:  aligned to the standards and lesson's objective, matched to students and content, and therefore are cognitively challenging relevant to the learner and require application of knowledge for real purposes differentiated to meet individual students' needs	AND  • Assignments provide students the opportunity to self-evaluate, reflect and share their problem-solving strategies and/or new ideas.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Logically aligned means to consider the knowledge and activities necessary to accomplish the objective, a progression in level of difficulty (Blooms Taxonomy), and the gradual release of responsibility to enable students to transfer, retain, and independently apply their learning.

# Assignments do not require **application of content** through:

- generating examples
- reviewing material
- leading discussions
- critically analyzing information
- solving problems

# Assignments provide opportunities to **apply content** by:

- generating examples
- reviewing material
- leading discussions
- critically analyzing information
- solving problems

...but many students do not engage in those opportunities.

# Assignments provide opportunities to **apply content** by:

- generating examples
- reviewing material
- leading discussions
- critically analyzing information
- solving problems
   ...and students engage in those opportunities.
- Students are able to self-select from options in assignments.
- Students
  apply
  content by
  developing
  possible
  solutions,
  addressing
  local or
  global issues,
  and/or
  develop
  creative
  approaches.

#### **Clinical Educator**

#### **Teacher Candidate**

#### **Field Instructor**

		PC	MW	PC	•	PC n/a	MW	PC n/a	•
Evidence									

#### Indicator 3.2

# Questioning and Discussion

#### Questions

- are not aligned to the objective
- do not follow a continuum from simple to more complex and/or concrete to abstract
- are not accompanied by wait time, prompts and/or scaffolds to help students answer questions

Students are not expected to support response with evidence and/or explanations.

Class discussions are not evident:

#### Questions

- are aligned to the objective
- do not follow a continuum from simple to more complex and/or concrete to abstract
- are accompanied by wait time but no prompts and/or scaffolds when needed to help students answer questions

Students are prompted to respond to questions using evidence and/or explanations when appropriate.

Class discussions are:

#### Questions

- are aligned to the objective
- follow a continuum from simple to more complex and/or concrete to abstract
- are accompanied by wait time, prompts, and/or scaffolds to help students answer questions

Students are expected to respond to questions using evidence and/or explanations when appropriate.

#### **Class Discussions are robust:**

primarily with student talk

#### AND

- Students
   engage in
   accountable
   talk\* to
   challenge
   thinking,
   push for
   evidence,
   and/or refine
   arguments.
  - Students initiate class discussions and peer collaboration
  - Students engage in productive

- primarily teacher talk
- students do not ask or pose their own questions
- no structures are in place that promote engagement in class discussions
- primarily between teacher and students but do not include all students
- primarily among students, but the discussion is low level
- few structures are in place that promote engagement by all students
- students asking questions of teacher and peers to expand on thinking
- structures in place that promote engagement by all students

academic discussions where they challenge one another's thinking.

Students encourage one another for evidence.

#### **Clinical Educator**

#### **Teacher Candidate**

#### **Field Instructor**

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC n/a	MW	PC n/a	F
Evidence												

## Indicator 3.3

#### Academic Language and Vocabulary

### Academic Language & Vocabulary is:

- not used or used incorrectly
- not explicitly taught or not expected to be used/known by students

Few to no opportunities are provided for students to use academic language and vocabulary.

Students are not prompted to use academic language or vocabulary and/or not corrected when academic language and vocabulary is misused.

### Academic Language & Vocabulary is:

- modeled inaccurately or used appropriately but errors are self-corrected
- not explicitly taught but students are expected to know

Opportunities to use academic language and vocabulary are not authentic and rigorous.

Students are prompted to use academic language and vocabulary and are corrected when it is misused.

### Academic Language & Vocabulary is:

- modeled accurately and appropriately
- explicitly taught
- expected to be used/known by students

Opportunities to use academic language and vocabulary are authentic and rigorous.

Students use academic language and vocabulary to explain and elaborate on their thinking with no or minimal teacher prompting.

#### AND

- Students take responsibility to help other understand academic language and vocabulary.
- Students
   prompt other
   to use
   academic
   language and
   vocabulary.
  - Students
     correct peers
     when
     academic
     language and
     vocabulary is
     misused.

<sup>\*</sup>Accountable talk requires students to further develop what others have said and demands students to use accurate knowledge that is relevant to the discussion and requires students to use appropriate evidence to defend such knowledge.

#### **Clinical Educator**

#### **Teacher Candidate**

#### Field Instructor

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC n/a	MW	PC n/a	F
Evidence												

<u>Professional Dispositions</u>	Rarely	Sometimes, but not	Consistently	No behaviors related to this
As an effective educator, the teacher candidate:		consistently		indicator
,,	1		3	observed
		2		NA

#### Professionalism 1

Demonstrates commitment to the belief that all learners can achieve by persisting in helping each learner reach his/her full potential.

Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate

-	-•	- 1								
	-1	a	~	Iп	ıst	rı	ır	•	റ	r
			u		IJL	ı		L	u	48.

**Field Instructor** 

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
									n/a		n/a	

#### **Professionalism 2**

Exhibits enthusiasm, initiative, and a positive attitude.

Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
									n/a		n/a	

#### **Professionalism 3**

Respects and considers the input and contributions of families, colleagues, and other professionals in understanding and supporting each learner's development.

Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate Field Instructor

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
									n/a		n/a	

#### **Professionalism 4**

Respects learners as individuals with differing personal and family backgrounds, and with varying skills, abilities, perspectives, talents, and interests; he/she is committed to using this information to plan effective instruction.

Clinical Educator	<b>Teacher Candidate</b>	Field Instructor
-------------------	--------------------------	------------------

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
									n/a		n/a	

#### **Professionalism 5**

Takes responsibility for his/her learners' learning and uses ongoing analysis and reflection using current research, education, and policy to improve his/her planning and practice.

Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate Field Instructor

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
									n/a		n/a	

#### **Professionalism 6**

Reflects on constructive criticism and guidance, and appropriately modifies his/her behavior or practice.

Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate Field Instructor

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
									n/a		n/a	

#### **Professionalism 7**

Demonstrates the ethical use of assessment and assessment data to identify learners' strengths and needs (e.g., shares learner data appropriately).

Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate Field Instructor

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
									n/a		n/a	

#### **Professionalism 8**

Demonstrates professionalism by being on time; representing him/herself appropriately through dress, language, and communications, including social media; and meeting deadlines.

Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate Field Instructor

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
									n/a		n/a	

Evidence for
any
any dispositions

#### Middle School English Language Arts Addendum to DTGSS Evaluation

#### Addendum 1: To add to DTGSS Indicator 1.3 (Objectives for Learning)

Performance Area #2: Engagement in Learning: To what extent does the instruction support and engage all students?

SPA Standard	Level 1: Beginning	Level 2: Developing	Level 3: Competent	Level 4: Accomplished
1.2 Understanding Learners and Learning in ELA  Candidates apply and demonstrate knowledge of how the constructs of adolescence/adolescents and learners' identities affect learning experiences to foster coherent, relevant, inclusive, and antiracist/antibias instruction that critically engages all learners in ELA.	Candidate inconsistently applies knowledge of adolescent learners and their identities to foster antiracist /anti-bias instruction.	Candidate sometimes applies knowledge of adolescent learners and their identities to foster antiracist/anti-bias instruction.	Candidate     consistently     applies     knowledge of     adolescent     learners and their     identities to     foster anti-racist/     anti bias     instruction.	<ul> <li>Candidate         consistently applies         knowledge of         adolescent learners         and their identities         to foster anti-racist/         anti bias instruction.</li> <li>Candidate gathers         and interprets data         on individual         learners'         differences to         inform anti-racist         anti-bias         instruction</li> </ul>

#### Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate Field Instructor

PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F
								n/a		n/a	
	PC	PC MW	PC MW PC	PC MW PC F	PC MW PC F PC	PC MW PC F PC MW	PC     MW     PC     F     PC     MW     PC	PC     MW     PC     F     PC     MW     PC     F			

#### Addendum 2: To add to DTGSS Indicator 2 (Engagement in Learning)

**Performance Area #2: Learning Experiences** 

SPA Standard	Level 1: Beginning	Level 2: Developing	Level 3: Competent	Level 4: Accomplished		
2.1 Candidates apply and demonstrate knowledge and theoretical perspectives about a variety of literary and informational texts—e.g., young adult, classic, contemporary, and media—that represent a range of world literatures, historical traditions, genres, cultures, and lived experiences.	Candidate chooses texts that do not represent a variety of genres and traditions. There is limited evidence that candidate understands how ELA texts build on one another to accomplish ELA goals.	Candidate chooses texts that sometimes represent a variety of genres and traditions. There is some evidence that candidate understands how ELA texts build on one another to accomplish ELA goals.	Candidate chooses texts that represent a variety of genres and traditions and candidate uses ELA texts to build on one another to accomplish ELA goals.	Candidate chooses texts that consistently represent a variety of genres and traditions and candidate uses ELA texts in sophisticated ways to build on one another to accomplish ELA goals		

#### Clinical Educator Teacher Candidate Field Instructor

Ratings	PC	MW	PC	F	PC	MW	PC	F	PC n/a	MW	PC n/a	F
Evidence												